

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,967	01/16/2002	Jesse John Kiefer	A71-07LAV	A71-07LAV 3892	
7590 02/18/2005		EXAMINER			
Linda A. Vag Agent for Applicant Warner Lambert Company 201 Tabor Road			CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
Morris Plains, 1	NJ 07950		DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/047,967	KIEFER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Arthur L Corbin	1761		

	LAUITING	rat Omit	
	Arthur L Corbin	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The limit is a limit in the period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in the statutory period for reply expire in	Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, we all fee) in compliance with 37 CFR are reply must be filed within one of the of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, wh	ication in est for Continued iods:
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		•	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowa'ble claim(s).			
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12,14,15,18-28,30,31,33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	□ will not be entered, or b) 🔯 will vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	2
13. Other:	(2.35.33 31 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 aper N		
NPAT ALIANIP	000/	ADTLI	
BEST AVAII ABI E ((J())PY	Anin	JITE. QUIDIN